On the Luv-Lee Problem in the Simulation of Orographic Precipitation

G. Doms, J.-P. Schulz^a, D. Majewski^a, J. Förstner^a, V. Galabov^b

Spatial Distribution of Precipitation in Southwest Germany
Formation of Stratiform Precipitation and Parameterization
Sensitivity to Seeder-Feeder and Prognostic Precipitation
Conclusions

a) (DWD) b) National Institute of Meteorology and Hydrology, Bulgaria



Precipitation 20/02-21/02/2002



LM 00 UTC + 06h-30h



Operational LM

Working Hypothesis

The erroneous spatial distribution of precipitation over mountainous terrain (mainly during wintertime) might be due to

dynamical-numerical mechanisms

over-estimation of the mountain wave amplitude in case of stable stratification and high wind speeds

dynamical-microphysical mechanism

over-estimation of precipitation enhancement by the seeder-feeder effect due to neglecting the horizontal and vertical advective transport of snow (and rain) in the present parameterization scheme

Parameterization of cloud microphysical processes



Precipitation enhancement in mixed pase clouds:

Bergeron-Findeisen process

Seeder Feeder mechanism



Treatment of Precipitation in NWP Models

Diagnostic Scheme

 Simplified budget equations for rain and snow precipitation fluxes

$$-\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial P_X}{\partial z} = S_X$$

- Column equilibrium saves CPU time and core memory
- High accuracy at larger scales
- Standard in NWP models

Prognostic Scheme

 Full 3D budget equations for rain and snow mixing ratios

$$\frac{\partial q_X}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_h \cdot \nabla q_X + w \frac{\partial q_X}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P_X}{\partial z} = S_X$$

- Computational expensive
- Requires a special numerical treatment of the sedimentation term due to CFL for fallout
- Necessary to account for horizontal and vertical transport in small-scale modelling (leeside precipitation, life-cycle in convective clouds)
- Standard in CRMs

Calculation of trajectories for LM to estimate the drifting of snow

Start level [hPa]	Distance [km]	Duration of drift [min]
500	71.4	40
550	59.5	35
600	47.6	29
650	40.5	25
700	32.1	21
750	13.1	9

Fall speed: 2 m/s

Fall down to the melting zone » 850 hPa

Experiments

- Re-run of PYREX-IOPs
- LM case studies with 28, 14 and 7 km grid-spacing
- Switch-off riming and accretional growth (sensitivity to seeder-feeder mechanism)
- LM case studies using the 2-time-level scheme with diagnostic and prognostic treatment of rain and snow (seeder-feeder cut-off)

24-h precipitation amount 20.2.-21.2.2002





24-h precipitation amount 20.2.-21.2.2002

00 UTC + 06h-30h





LM without accrection and riming



Vertical cross sections at 48.4°N LM with drifting of precipitation 00 UTC + 15h

Specific water content of snow

Specific water content of rain



Precipitation 20/02-21/02/2002

Observation



LM 00 UTC + 06h-30h



LM with drifting of precipitation





Conclusions

- Gravity and mountain wave dynamics is well represented by the LM (PYREX)
- Lee-side distribution of precipitation is very sensitive to the seeder-feeder mechanism
- Including the 3-D transport of precipitation (in particular of snow) appears to significantly improve the distribution of precipitation on the upwind side and in the lee of mountains
- more case studies are necessary

- prognostic treatment of rain and snow needs about 50% more computing time for the total LM,
- new numerics (2-time-level scheme) have to be optimized and tested thoroughly,
- as an intermediate step, the prognostic precipitation scheme will be implemented within the operational 3-TL integration scheme using a semi-Lagrangian transport scheme (2Q 2004)