Status Overview in COSMO

impact of latent heat nudging / fine-scale analysis

christoph.schraff@dwd.de Deutscher Wetterdienst, D-63067 Offenbach, Germany





Overview







daily cycle of: IWV (1 – 13 June 2007, air-mass convection) Hourly mean IWV GPS and COSMO-DE: 1-13 June 200 Hourly mean IWV GPS and COSMO-DE: 1-13 June 2007 an 6498 an 6320 00 6320 06 6320 06 6498 $\Delta x = 2.8 \text{ km}$ GPS **CNT (no GPS)** 12 6498 12 6320 28 obs gps obs gps Analysis **00 UTC** IWV [kg/m**2] 27 **06 UTC 12 UTC** 26 **18 UTC** Obs 25 GPS reduces humidity 24 ¹⁸ ¹⁹ ²⁰ ²¹ ²² ²³ daytime 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 hour [UTC] precipitation: ETS 00 UTC runs 12 UTC runs 6320 5498 5499 5490 6320 6498 0.1 mm/h 1.0 mm/h **CNT** 6499 6500 34 28 **GPS** great improvement 28 ++++++++ by GPS ana degradation 20 time because too little 18 strong precip. 10 in early evening 10 . 10 15 20 * CONSORTIUM FOR SMALL SCRIE MODELING EWGLAM / SRNWP Meeting, Madrid, 6 – 9 Oct. 2008 V, (O) christoph.schraff@dwd.de 3 6 Status Overview of Data Assimilation in COSMO

Status Overview of Data Assimilation in COSMO

Overview







Use of radar-derived surface precipitation

Virginia Poli, Francesca di Giuseppe (ARPA-SIM, Bologna)

1DVAR to retrieve T, q –profiles from RR (using linearised parameterisations of large-

scale condensation and convection) then nudge T, q –profiles







Example of RR assimilation







EWGLAM / SRNWP Meeting, Madrid, 6 – 9 Oct. 2008 Status Overview of Data Assimilation in COSMO



Latent Heat Nudging operational also at MeteoSwiss





EWGLAM / SRNWP Meeting, Madrid, 6 – 9 Oct. 2008 Status Overview of Data Assimilation in COSMO

What determines the impact of LHN ?

Daniel Leuenberger¹, Christian Keil² and George Craig²

¹ MeteoSwiss, Zurich, Switzerland ² DLR, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

- use high-resolution NWP ensemble (2.8km mesh size)
 - driven by regional COSMO-LEPS ensemble
 - 10 members with LHN, 10 members without
 - different mesoscale environment in each member
- 3 differently forced convection cases



28 June 2006



non-forced frontal (with upper-level trough, no distinct surface front) 12 July 2006



air mass

8



EWGLAM / SRNWP Meeting, Madrid, 6 – 9 Oct. 2008 Status Overview of Data Assimilation in COSMO



Timelines of observed and simulated area-averaged surface rainfall

Example: Air mass convection case





EWGLAM / SRNWP Meeting, Madrid, 6 – 9 Oct. 2008 Status Overview of Data Assimilation in COSMO

christoph.schraff@dwd.de



Definition of Time Scales











Impact of LHN on QPF dependent on

- precipitation forcing (equilibrium vs. non-equilibrium)
- mesoscale environment of convection (e.g. stability)
- life time of precipitation system
- extent of NWP model domain and radar data coverage



EWGLAM / SRNWP Meeting, Madrid, 6 – 9 Oct. 2008 Status Overview of Data Assimilation in COSMO

do we have to analyse the small scales, or is it sufficient to analyse the large scales ?

Klaus Stephan, Christoph Schraff (DWD)







Status Overview of Data Assimilation in COSMO





Status Overview of Data Assimilation in COSMO

assess importance of km-scale details in analysis

benefit from fine-scale details in analysis:

 strongly depends on the case and on the convective environment (past experiments, Leuenberger)



• depends on the initial time of the forecasts (in these experiment)





Thank you for your attention





Status Overview of Data Assimilation in COSMO

many experiments: - different initial conditions (IDE, IEU, no LHN, no RS-q,...)

- different lateral boundary cond. (opr (delayed), actual, analysis)
- → largest impact on daily cycle of precip. from variation of initial time of forecast !



- the closer the initial time is to 9 UTC, the less (increase of) convection in afternoon
- not significantly affected by LHN, little affected by RS-humidity
- → model climate differs from 'climate' introduced by observations (nudging)
 - experiments: without ass of upper-air T, (q) – without ass of p_s (incl. T-correction)



 \rightarrow

