

Recent Progress in LAM-EPS Research and Implementation Focus on North America

Josh Hacker (<u>phacker@nps.edu</u>), with contributions from many as noted on the slides.

EWGLAM/SRNWP 10 Oct 2011, Tallinn, Estonia



Efforts at operational centers

- CMC (Canada)
- NCEP (U.S.)
- SMN (Mexico)
- U.S. Air Force Weather Agency
- U.S. Navy Fleet Numerical Meteorology and Oceanography Center (Naval Research Lab)
- Multi-agency ensembles

Canadian Regional Ensemble Prediction System (REPS); operational Sept 2011

- Based on the Global Environmental Multiscale (GEM) model version 4.2 (vertical staggering à la Charney-Phillips)
- Subgrid-scale parameterizations and horizontal grid spacing almost identical to Canadian deterministic global system (do not use multi-parameterization approach).
- Grid spacing: 0.3° x 0.3° (280 x 287 x L28 grid points)
- REPS lid is near 10 hPa and lid nesting technique is used
 - piloting between 10 and 35 hPa
 - blending between 35 and 100 hPa
- Piloted with a 3h frequency by the global Canadian EPS with lid at 2 hPa
- Initial conditions from the global EnKF (same as global EPS)
- Lead time: 72 hours
- 20 members + one control run
- Sources of stochasticity
 - Stochastic perturbations of physical tendencies
 - Initial conditions (global EnKF)
 - Boundary conditions (global EPS)

The REPS domain



REPS: What's next?

- Better surface and near-surface model error representation by perturbing uncertain parameters and fields related to the surface scheme
- Horizontal grid spacing at 20 km in 2012
- Dedicated regional ensemble-based data assimilation (regional EnKF) in ~2013

NCEP SREF Planned Changes Spring 2012

I. Model Changes

1. 4-model system becomes 3-model system (remove old Eta and RSM, add NEMS-NMMB)

2. Model's horizontal resolution increases from 32km to 20km

II. IC diversity improvement

- 1. Use more diversity of control analyses: from 2 to 3 (add Rapid Refresh)
- 2. Improve IC perturbation by blending larger-scale ETR and smaller-scale BV
- 3. Change 2-D mask to 3-D mask to control IC perturbation size vertically

III. Physics diversity improvement

1. Add stochastic parameterization Cu physics scheme

IV. Ensemble product improvements

- 1. Precipitation bias correction (frequency-matching method)
- 2. Clustering
- 3. Statistical downscaling to 2.5km using hi-res analysis RTMA

4. Many new ensemble products including min/max, 10-25-50-75-90%, best/ worst members, weighted-mean, extreme weather probability as well as aviation, wind energy, fire weather and convection-specific probabilistic products

Difference in precipitation FCST ("exp - ctl") due to stochastic convective parameterization (Hurricane Ike)



28N

26N -

24N

22N



06h-apcp Diff (mm) 48H fcst from 09Z 11 SEP 2008 (mem 11) verified time: 09z, 09/13/2008



24h-apcp Diff (mm) 48H fcst from 09Z 11 SEP 2008 (mem 11) verified time: 09z, 09/13/2008



Predicting individual member performances (Du and Zhou, 2011, MWR)



J. Du

Mexico

- Experiment to scope an improved and coordinated operational capability:
 - Compare the skill performance of regional forecasts systems, particularly WRF-based total precipitation, under a wide range of configurations.
 - Investigate tradeoffs between resolution and ensemble size
 - Compare the performance of WRF run in the experiment versus the performance of operational NCEP models (GFS and NAMS) available for the region.
 - Compare historical observations with the NCEP regional reanalysis, and the WRF DA, to assess the performance of the data assimilation schemes and identify regions where quality-controlled observations are required.
 M. Pena

Daily accumulated precipitation (mm): rain gage (CPC) vs Reanalysis



Analyses are problematic in this region: lack of observations and/or model problem?



Region prone to floods

Sample size: 31 days

M. Pena

US Air Force Weather Ensemble Prediction Suite (AFWEPS)

- Global Ensemble Prediction Suite (GEPS)
 - Combination of GFS, GEM, and NOGAPS ensembles
 - Post-processed at US Air Force Weather Agency (AFWA)
- Mesoscale Ensemble Prediction Suite (MEPS)
 - 10 members of WRF-ARW with unique physics configurations
 - Initial conditions are deterministic UM, GFS, GEM, and NOGAPS
 - 20 km northern hemisphere and tropical stripe domains to 144 hours run once per day (18Z) with online dust
 - Seven re-locatable 4 km (1600 km by 1600 km) domains run once per day to 54 hrs
 - Appointed user can move domain useful for contingency missions, tropical cyclones, severe weather outbreaks, etc

E. Kuchera

US Air Force Weather Ensemble Prediction Suite (AFWEPS)

- Air Force Weather Tools for decision improvement:
 - Convection allowing ensembles (4 km resolution)
 - Weather uncertainty due to convection is primary problem
 - Algorithms to diagnose sub-grid scale probabilities
 - High-impact phenomena are still sub-grid even at 4 km
 - Probabilistic predictions of tornadoes, hail, visibility, wind gusts, snowfall, icing, etc
 - Inclusion of dust online inside model
 - Dust from convection is #1 problem to solve addressed by WRF-CHEM ensemble at 4 km
 - Also working on dust source regions and uncertainties
 - Substantial improvement over current methods

E. Kuchera

Probabilistic fog forecast experiments with MEPS

- Visibility predictions based on explicitly forecast water content (cloud, rain, snow, ice)
- 20-h runs initialized at 00Z every 3-4 days for Nov 2008 to Feb 2009; 29 total runs
- Verification focused on seven sites
 - Represent both advection fog and radiation fog cases
 - Variety of elevations
- If visibility reduced due to precipitation, observation not included



Inner-most nest domain and verification sites (elevation in m)

First look: individual members of simplified MEPS



Need to explore sensitivity to thresholds on q_c and extinction

COAMPS Ensemble System (Navy) Joint Ensemble Forecast System (JEFS)



COAMPS Ensembles High-Resolution Coupled Ensembles

21 members (\Delta x=5 km), 12-h Forecasts

25-30 June 2005

Ensemble Spread

12:00 18:00 00:00 06:00 12:00 18:00 00:00 06:00 12:00 18:00 00:00 06:00 12:00 18:00 00:00 06:00 12:00 18:00 00:00 06:00 12:00 18:00 00:00 Jun 25 Jun 26 Jun 27 Jun 28 Jun 29 Jun 30 Jul 1 2005 ENSEMBLE MEAN 2-m air temperature & 10-m wind sst & surface current 40 38 36 34 32 30 10 50 m/s cm/s Atmosphere 142 130 132 ¹Ocean 142 130 132 140 138 140

Maximum spread for atmospheric and oceanic temperature and winds/currents are located near atmospheric BL top & ocean ML bottom

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

21Z 27 June 2005 (9 h) Atmospheric u-wind component Ocean u-current component



Atmospheric potential temperature Ocean temperature



T. Holt (NRL)

Multi-center efforts

- Ensemble Testbed (NOAA, NCAR etc.) is established to accelerate transition from research to operations (started 2011);
- North American Ensemble Forecast System (NAEFS) expanded to regional ensemble (initially combining NCEP SREF with CMC regional ensemble system, 2015, Jun Du/NCEP and Martin Charron/CMC)

National Unified Operational Prediction Capability

- Air Force, Navy, NOAA partnership
- A managed National multi-model ensemble prediction system.
- A common modeling framework linking operations and research.
- Draw on individual partner modeling strengths.



GFS/EnKF ensembles and ellipses, IC=2010090200 for storm number 07 in the AL basin









- Increase in interest and activity during 2010-11
- In U.S., motivated largely by private sector and NWS forecast office needs
- Historical data set production still a challenge for mesoscale LAM-EPS
- Following example uses quantile regression (QR) as a basis to calibrate NCAR's 4DWX ensemble predictions in the desert near Salt Lake City, UT
 - What should regressors be? How does calibration change needs for ensembles size?

NCEP: Frequency-matching corrected SREF precip light precip reduced and heavier precip enhanced

24h-apcp 24H fcst from 09Z 29 APR 2011 (mem 13) verified time: 09z, 04/30/2011

24h-apcp 24H fcst from 09Z 29 APR 2011 (mem 16) verified time: 09z, 04/30/2011



0.01 0.1 0.25 0.5 0.75

24h-apcp 24H fcst from 09Z 29 APR 2011 (mem 13) verified time: 09z, 04/30/2011



24h-apcp 24H fcst from 09Z 29 APR 2011 (mem 16) verified time: 09z, 04/30/2011





Model Error: Representing Uncertainty

- Stochastic Kinetic Energy Backscatter Scheme now in WRF release (Berner et al. 2011).
- Primary conclusions:
 - SKEBS superior to multi-physics scheme
 - Multiple model uncertainty schemes working together give superior skill.
- Why? General guidance still lacking except where we can interpret behavior near surface and aloft.

Including model "perturbations" in the WRF



J. Berner

Including model "perturbations" in the WRF





LAM ensemble filters

- Significant activity at universities and labs
- Emphasis on ensemble filters for ensemble production
- Ensemble filters as a tool to understand predictability and dynamics



Accounting for model uncertainty in DA

- Multiple models or schemes violate assumptions underpinning ensemble data assimilation.
 - Easy to think of situations where it might cause problems (e.g. clustering by parameterization scheme)
- Some accounting for model error surely improves mesoscale ensemble forecasts.
- Differentiate between more persistent differences between models (biases) and faster-scale differences that appear more random.

Observations for data assimilation

- MADIS (Meteorological Assimilation Data Ingest System)
- RAOB u, v, t, td, surface altimeter
- METAR u, v, t, td, surface altimeter
- Marine u, v, t, td, surface altimeter
- ACARS u, v, t, td
- Surface observations: metar (for assimilation) and integrated mesonet (for verification)



S.-Y. Ha

Experiment design

<u>Grids</u> D1: 123 x 99 (45-km) D2: 163 x 106 (15-km) 41 levels, two-way nesting



IC/LBCs

- 1°x1° GFS analyses were used for initialization in both domains
- 1°x1° GFS forecasts were used to generate lateral boundaries at 45-km grid four times a day

Ensemble

- 50-member ensemble
- WRF/DART to generate analyses and forecast

Cycling period: 1-10 June 2008 (3-hrly cycling)

Surface mesonet verification; 3-h forecast and analysis



Verification against radiosondes; 3-h forecast



Advanced Hurricane WRF Cycling Assimilation System

- WRF ARW (v3.3), 36 km horizontal resolution over basin, 96 ensemble members, DART assimilation system (http:// www.image.ucar.edu/DAReS/DART/).
- Observations assimilated each six hours from surface and marine stations (P_{sfc}), rawinsondes, dropsondes > 100 km from TC, ACARS, sat. winds, TC position, MSLP, GPS RO Observation Distribution valid 2011083112
- Initialized system on 29 July 2011, continuous cycling using GFS LBC
- No vortex bogusing or repositioning, all updates to TC due to observations



R. Torn

2008-2010 Retrospective Forecasts

Track

Maximum Wind Speed



R. Torn



Opportunities!

Post-docs wanted:

- Investigations of structural model error within an ensemble filter framework (at NPS)
- Predictability and observing strategies in complex terrain (at NPS)
- Marine boundary layer parameterization and ensemble data assimilation (at NCAR and/or NPS)



Verification against radiosondes REPS (GEM 4.2, red) vs REPS (GEM 3.2, blue)



CRPS (left) and CRPS difference (with 90% confidence intervals, right) between the previous experimental REPS (blue) and operational REPS (red).

Examples of severe thunder, lightning and dry lightning probabilistic products



from 15z Aug 11 2011. Verified Time: 03z 08/12/2011 54N 51N 48N 45N 42N-39N 36N 33N 30N 27N 24N 21N 125¥ 110₩ 105¥ 100₩ 130₩ 120# 115W 951 ιqή 751 20 60 70 80 10 15 30 50

SREF: Probability of Lightning Hrly Rgn3 12H FCST

SREF: Probability of Lightning Dry 09H FCST from 15z Aug 11 2011. Verified Time: 00z 08/12/2011



Ensemble Data Assimilation and Predictability Application of COAMPS EnKF to Pacific NW Snowstorm

100-member EnKF Data Assimilation System (27 and 9-km)

Covariance between SLP and 700 hPa Temp (contours) 700 hPa RH (fill)

Puget Sound 850 hPa Temp 17 Warm and Cold Members



Flow dependent mesoscale covariances
Mesoscale cyclogenesis (500 km difference in low position)
Rapid error growth; 36-h temperature differences of 6°C.

A. Reinecke and J. Doyle (NRL)

COAMPS-TC Irene Ensemble Forecasting

10 Member 5-km Resolution Ensemble System (COAMPS-TC DART)





TC position from individual ensemble members every 24 h and ellipses that encompass the 1/3 and 2/3 ensemble distributions. Median, minimum, maximum, and 10% and 90% distributions are shown

COAMPS-TC DART Ensemble System Tested in Real Time in 2011. The System Performed Well during the Landfall of Hurricane Irene.

A. Reinecke and J. Doyle (NRL)

COAMPS-TC Coupled Ensembles 2-Way (Air-Ocean) Coupled Forecasts of Ike

TC Ensemble Forecast Tracks and Best Track



Sea Surface Temperature Difference



Ensemble Forecast Bias Correction



Atmos: 81 km & 27 km; Ocean: 27 km
The ensemble forecast can provide reasonable uncertainty information
The ensemble mean shows a similar location for the SST decrease as observed
Bias correction is able to improve the ensemble mean SST

National Unified Ensemble

- Common output formats
- Same forecast times
- 73 common variables
- Products being developed to support mission needs
- Future development being coordinated by a triagency management committee

Where We Are

- Well Established Tri-Agency Partnership
- Initial Operational Capability of National Unified Ensemble in January 2011
- Software architecture and interoperability standards part of latest release of the Earth System Modeling Framework.
- National R&D agenda for advancing global NWP presented to American Meteorological Society Meeting – January 2011











Next Generation Prediction Capability

- New modeling techniques to improve predictive skill
- Exploit interoperability architecture for a fully coupled system: land, ocean, ice, wave, atmosphere, space, ecosystem.
- Exploit emerging computing capabilities
- Improved inter-annual to decadal predictions

Earth System Prediction Capability (ESPC)



Step 2: For each quan, use forward step-wise cross-validation to select best regress set Selection requires: a) min QR cost function, b) binomial distrib at 95% confidence If requirements not met, retain climatological "prior"



Step 3: segregate forecasts based on ens dispersion; refit models (Step 2) for each range



Time

Final result: "sharper" posterior PDF represented by interpolated quans



42-hr dewpoint calibration

Station DPG S01

Before Calibration

After Calibration



T. Hopson

Significant calibration regressors Station DPG S01 3hr Lead-time 42hr Lead-time



T. Hopson

Member contributions



Temperature	[K]

	Moo	lel Sta	ndar	d Dev	iation	
GFS_WPMYJ						
GFS_WMTHO						
GFS_WCTRL						
GFS_WC33K						
GFS_WAF11						
GFS_WAF10						
GFS_MUPRB -						
GFS_MUPRA						
GFS_MRCCM						
GFS_MMRE2						
GFS_MLPLP						
GFS_MLPLM						
GFS_MLMLP						
GFS_MLMLM						
GFS_MCTRL						
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
			Error			

42hr Lead-time

- More members improve mean of PDF if error growth less than linear and members are uncorrelated
- For perfectly-correlated ensembles, any additional member degrades skill

T. Hopson

0000 UTC 2 Aug. Ensemble



2011080200 AHW4 forecast of EMILY (al052011)

