

Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Confederation

Federal Department of Home Affairs FDHA Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss



NWP in aviation:

CAT diagnostics

Pierre Eckert MeteoSwiss, Geneva





- Motivation and backgroud
- Use of NWP in aviation (non exhaustive)
- CAT diagnostics from COSMO-7
- Outlook

Income (MeteoSwiss)





The Schwarzwälder Torte or Zuger-Kirschtorte

Expectations from aviation (managers)



- Lower costs from weather providers (direct, core)
- More expectations for optimising the operations
 - Wind forecasts
 - Parameters usually not included in models: icing, CAT, fog, lightning...
- The weather is still an important factor for air traffic despite all technical advances
- Tendency to fusions airspaces: ATC \rightarrow ¿Met?
- Met services have to tackle these challenges in close collaboration

NWP in aviation



- Global models are used since some time
- Regional models can help catching local/small scale phenomena
- Postprocessing is still necessary
 - Local adaptation
 - Parameters not directly present in the model

MOS on local NWP models S M O





0

+ sampling of many cases, good) discrimination \rightarrow long lead times, rare events ,

 inert when model error changes

» reduction of the mean error and its variability

- + insensitive to model error changes
- simple error model, little discrimination
- » correction mainly of the systematic error

"KF"

nporal flexibility (e.g. ange of model version)

COSMO MOS



- Done: wind speed and gusts
- Optimal training period: ~90 days
- Planed: wind direction, ceiling, visibility

Let's move to CAT



- Clear Air Turbulence
- Outside wet convection
- Not directly visible (eye, radar)



- Can injure crew and passengers and even affect flight safety
- Can affect vertical separation of aircrafts: RVSM of 1000ft has to be relaxed
- Atmospheric situations causing CAT not fully understood (jet stream, breaking gravity waves...)





Clear Air Turbulence over Europe: Climatology, Dynamics and Representation in COSMO-7

Masterthesis of Lysiane Mayoraz

Supervised by Michael Sprenger and Vanessa Stauch





Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Case Study

Probable cause: Jetstream

Wind speed [m/s], wind vectors @ 10320 m, 05 Jun 2011 11 UTC





Λ

IAC**ETH**

• Turbulence indices:

•Tl₂ (Ellrod & Knapp Index 2) → deformation, shearing und divergence
•Rl (Gradient Richardson Number) → rate between the static stability and the vertical windshear. If RI < 1: instable
•EDR (Eddy Dissipation Rate) → rate at which turbulent kinetic energy is converted into heat



→ Turbulent spot well visible with the three indices calculated from the COSMC forecasts! •

 \rightarrow But signal too low (~ 1'000 m^p

IAC**ETH**

• Turbulence indices:

•Tl₂ (Ellrod & Knapp Index 2) → deformation, shearing und divergence
•Rl (Gradient Richardson Number) → rate between the static stability and the vertical windshear. If Rl < 1: instable
•EDR (Eddy Dissipation Rate) → rate at which turbulent kinetic energy is converted into heat



Extended turbulence parametrisation (SSO) is now operational: brings a significant amelioration compared to the previous scheme.



Model - Method

- COSMO-7 analyses for summer 2011
 - Interpolation on 25 height levels (6'000 12'000 m)
 → Reduction of the data amount
 - Setting of threshold values for TI_2 (4*10⁻⁶ s⁻²), EDR (0.07 m^{2/3}s⁻¹) and RI (0.75) \rightarrow Selection of the turbulent regions
 - Time-consistent 3D-Clustering: grid points belonging to the same turbulent spot = one Event
 - \rightarrow Spatial distribution
 - \rightarrow Horiz./Vert. extension
 - \rightarrow Duration of the event
 - \rightarrow Lifecycle





Illustration of the method



Horizontal cross sections over Europe

IAC**ETH**

Label 3

Label 3

11111111111 1111

(111111)

111

11 1111

1111

Clustering Algorithm





Clustering Algorithm

 \rightarrow Apply also the time clustering in the other "time-direction"





Model - Results

- Some spatial and temporal characteristics of the CAT events
 - The large majority are small and short-living, only a few are very large and long-lasting
 - Differences between the indices → depends on the process described by the index
- Spatial distribution of turbulence over Europe (summer 2011)
 Generally more frequent over land than over see (gravity waves, static instability...) and also maximum over North / North-East of Europe



Observations Data

- Flight Data Monitoring Data from Swiss (summer 2011)
- Selection criteria \rightarrow 50 turb. events (out of 100'000 flights)

 $\Delta a_v > 0.8 \text{ g}$ $\Delta w > 1500 \text{ ft/min}$ $\Delta AOA > 1^\circ$ $\Delta AOT > 1^\circ \text{C}$ $\Delta CAS > 4 \text{ knots}$ altitude > FL200



Observations - Results

- Spatial distribution of the observed events depending on:
 - $\rightarrow Flight routes! \\ \rightarrow Detection!$

Interpretation tricky!

Swiss Destination Map







Comparison Observations / Model

Results:

• All clear detected events are associated with a large and long-lasting event from the model!

	Detection rate	
TI ₂	86%	
EDR	86%	
RI	77%	

No detection by any of the three indices		
Detection by all three indices		
Detection by at least one of the three indices 🤇	91%	\supset

Inaccuracies	Bias	
in the signal	Frequency	Mean Value
too early	19%	1 h
too late	2%	1.5 h
too high	17%	660 m
too low	17%	1090 m





- Extend on longer period
- Require full route data from aircrafts in order to evaluate also false alarms
- Evaluate dependency of model, mainly horizontal and vertical resolution

• Met services have to tackle these challenges in close collaboration





Thank you for your attention

O