





Cray X1E 16 physical nodes X1E

DE MEDIO AMBIENTE

Y MEDIO RURAL Y MARINO

MINISTERIO

8 MSP each : 1.2 GHz, 19.2 Gflops - 64 bits by MSP,

32 logical nodes (31 application nodes + 1 support node)

Hirlam operational runs on Cray X1E

3 HIRLAM v7.2 experiments:

ONR (0.16deg,lat x lon 582x424, ts 600 sec) HNR and CNN (0.05deg, lat x lon 606x430, ts 240 sec)

Four runs at 00, 06, 12 & 18 UTC

40 levels in the vertical (more resolution in the PBL)

SL Dynamics

3DVAR assimilation

ISBA

• NH dynamics

2.5 km resolution 65 Levels

• EDME shallow convection

Direct nesting in ECMWF forecasts

No parameterization of deep convection

ECMWF Blending

Observations coded in bufr4 were introduced into the operational run in February 2012. This means an increase of the number of the assimilated observations, specially in the aircraft data. The impact was significant for geopotencial in high levels.



Number of aircraft data available before and after bufr4





10 m wind speed ETS for categories <1.5 and >3.3 m/s



GLOB22 (GLOBAL 2 deg)

INML05 (0.5 deg) INMH01 (0.1 deg)

Emissions: IPCC + GEMS_TNO

MACCH3 (0.05 deg)

MACC RAQ ENSEMBLE MEAN

(AS BOUNDARY CONDITIONS)

Emissions: IPCC + GEMS_TNO http://www.gmes-atmosphere.eu

NWP Activities at the AEMET (Spain)

Calvo J., Cansado A., Díez M., Geijo C., Hortal M., Morales G.,

Morales T., Sánchez J., Santos C., Orfila B., Jiménez A.

34th EWGLAM & 19th SRNWP Meetings. Helsinki, Finland, 08-11 Oct. 2012

and add value especially for some surface parameters .

table threat score for Wind speed (m/s) Selection: EWGLAM 78 stations

HNR ONR ECHHF

9.1

128 MSP / 512 SSP

512 GB memory

2.304 Tflops theoretical peak performance for applications

Cross-compiler based in linux cluster

A historical verification has been carried out to compare the performance of the different limited area models, run in AEMET, over the last years. The scores show a continuous improvement in AEMET operational HIRLAM forecasts, attributable both to better global ECMWF forecast fields used as boundaries

and to successive LAM upgrades. HIRLAM complements ECMWF global model forecasts in the short range

MOCAGE is a Global Chemical Transport Model developed by Météo France and used at AEMET to make chemical weather forecasts and

Calculate the evolution of the dispersion of hazardous material released to the atmosphere (volcanic ashes, radioactive matter, etc). It allows nested domains (up to three, additional to the global one). Over Iberia and Balearic Islands AEMET runs MOCAGE at a horizontal resolution 0.1 degrees. Besides, we participate in the FP7 MACC-II Project (Monitoring Atmospheric Composition and Climate-Interim Implementation) using MOCAGE to model the atmospheric composition in Western Meditermaena at 0.05 degrees (horizontal resolution). Meteorological forcings come from ECMWF IFS (GLOB22) and HIRLAM AEMET ONR (INML05) and HNR (INMH01 and MACCH3)

> European Air Quality > EAQ forecasting and monitoring > Air Quality Forecasts > Mediterranean Zooms > AEM forecasts

process (Gross error)

Date Model Description of change ECMW resolution: T319 (62 km) L31 Apr 98 Dec 99 HIRLAM version: v4.6.2 Nov 00 ECMWF resolution: T511 (40 km) L60 Feb 05 HIRLAM version: v6.1.2 geometry & resolution: 0.16/0.05º 40L Feb 06 ECMWF resolution: T799 (25 km) L91 Oct 09 resolution: T1279 (16 km) L91 Jan 10 ECMWF Apr 10 HIRLAM Moist physics: KF-RK Mar 11 HIRLAM HNR Direct nesting into ECMW



- Clear added value compared with HIRLAM and ECMWF specially for precipitation, wind and temperature.
- Despite the jump in resolution, direct nesting in ECMWF model performs as good as using HIRLAM or ALADIN as intermediate model
- Surface analysis seems to be important but the upper air analysis has less impact if we only use convectional observations.
- Improvement of daily cycle of precipitation

INML05 (0.5 deg) Ozone INMH01 (0.1 deg)

Ozone MACCH3 (0.05 deg) NO2

CRITERIA to create the WHITE LIST:

1) The observation with less % of rejections by the Screening

2) If there is more than one station with the same % of

Finally, from the 372 observations, only 262 combinations of

rejections, the one with the lowest Standard Deviation (SD)

 Predictability issues become important at this resolutions being important to have severeal realization of the model predictions to assess predictability

AEMET-SREPS provides high performance probabilistic forecasts at synoptic-meso-a scale, giving added value to our deterministic HIRLAM suites and assessing predictability in the Short Range over-performing ECMWF PS. Current research on the transition to meso-gamma scale: the future $\textbf{AEMET-}\gamma\textbf{-}\textbf{SREPS}.$ Predictability issues at



conservation

SS

mode

HARMONIE

(JA)

Ň

ma: prtal, r

roving Mariano Ho

Impr

t Data Radar

Development I of Reflectivity R

Research and Assimilation of

Stochastic Perturbed Parameterization Tendencies (SPPT, Buizza et al. 1999). A. Callado: 6 months visit ECMWF with G. Shutts assessing SPPT, later HarmonEPS experiments SPPT

Multiplicative noise applied to each physics variable tendency (see figure) Spectral spatial and time correlations (at ECMWF) **Harmon-EPS** experiment: to apply multiplicative noise (~SPPT) to physics temperature tendency independently to each grid

Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter (LETKF, Hunt et al., 2007) P. Escribà: 6 months visit ECMWF with M. Bonavita, assessing EDA, hybrid 4D-Var/EDA and the EnKF implemented at ECMWF, Later HarmonEPS experiments. The figure shows MSLP RMSE time series for the analysis and B with ECMWF IFS: analysis performs better than the background, showing that LETKF provides good ICs. ETKF



In the global model IFS, total dry air mass should remain constant throughout the simulation period, while in the limited-area HARMONIE this does not apply. The improvements in the conservation of dry air and total mass of the constituents of the atmosphere, verifiable in the IFS, should be applicable to the HARMONIE limited-area version because the dynamic kernel is the same for both models.

Experiments for T159L91, T255L91, T319L91, T399L91 and T511L91 resolutions were run in order to observe the evolution of total dry air mass throughout the simulation period (10 day). The modification carried out in the continuity equation prove that the correction of the over/undershooting errors introduced by the cubic interpolations to obtain the value of the bidimensional term worsens the conservation. It is better not to apply quasi-monotone interpolation (woQMI). Moreover, a bi-cubic-linear interpolation (CLI) for this term is better than the standard bi-cubic in terms of conservation.

eration with GLAMEPS •Sampling uncertainties: LETKF (ICs), SPPT (model), perturbations LBCs •DA and verification: High Resolution observed vations (radar, SEVIRI...) •Calibration: Extended Logistic Regression •Post-processing: specific SREPS-grams



0



WHITELISTING in GNNS observations

OPERATIONAL

A Ground-Based GNSS station can be processed by different Processing Centres (PC) and so different measurements of Zenit Total Delay are delivered for each site.

A selection of the best quality measurements for each site has been done by using WHITE LISTS in Harmonie 37beta2

EGVAP- EUMETNET station&processing-centre have been chosen for the White List, european GNSS sites being therefore ready to be used for the impact studies for The white listing has been based on observation minus background departures

August 2008 period.

value.

Whitelisted obs (262):

Verification: Neighborhood, Feature-based (SAL, MODE...) To assess on spread-error balance. EPS or GCM combinations is e.g. EPS ECMWF (as well as sor and tubing), GCMs from T AEMET-SREPS. The figure with spread evolution. assess the impact of LBCs selection read-error balance for different glob ent globa computed ne subsets .BCs all Marty TIGGE Meso-gamma scales need new spatial verification methods, e.g feature-based that deal with patterns, to avoid problems like double penalty. Structure-Amplitude-Location method (SAL, Wernii et al., 2008) has been tested succesfully to assess HIRLAM, HARMONIE and ECMWF QPFs (figure). MODE (Davis et al., 2009) will be tested for ensemble forecasts.









PROCEDURE:

If in the iterative algorithm to find the departure point of the SL trajectory we apply a smooth interpolation in the vertical (vSI) components of the velocity, this improves the conservation of the total mass of the dry air.

modifications we run in the continuity equation did not degrade the other prognostic variables, when we applied the new alternative interpolations. Apart from that, the new interpolations for each resolution did not increase the computation time.

ilatio ions i 2 0.00⁻ E.DU. Assimila observatio a pag 100 150 Forecast Hours T159.91 experiment. Red line, reference model (RM); green line, RM without quasi-monotone (woQMI); blue line; MR woQMI and bi-cubic-linear interpolation (CL), cyan line, MR woQMI and smooth interpolation in the vertical component of the velocity between the eta levels 1-36 and 62-76 (vSI); magenta line, MR woQMI CL1 vSI



Bias values. July 2010 Although the Whitelisting process implies



Radar reflectivity images offer a good opportunity to test the Field Alignment (FA) technique for correction of position errors (Ravela et al. 2007). This method was already tested with model fields used as pseudoobservations in a previous work with encouraging results (Geijo, 2011). The question of how the FA technique performs with real data remained however open.

Recent work on reflectivity data from one C-radar of the AEMET radar network has shown that it is possible to correct successfully position errors of rain structures in HARMONIE-AROME short-range mesoscale forecasts, within a circular domain of 240 Km in diameter around the radar site.

[*] Ravela,S ;Emanuel K. and McLaughlin D."Data Assimilation by Field Alignmnet" Physica D 230 (2007) 127-145 available online at <u>www.sciencedirect.com</u>

Carlos Geijo, "Data Assimilation by Field Alignment. Testing the Theory". HIRLAM Newsletters N58 (2011).





An example of the FA technique applied to radar reflectivity data is shown on the left. The upper right panel shows the pseudo-image before alignment, the lower left panel is the real radar data and on its right the model pseudo-image once corrected. Also on the top left the geometry of the problem is sketched.

The radar data used in this work comes from an AEMET network C-band radar situated near to Madrid (3.713W, 40.177N; emitter altitude 717m a.m.s.l). Between November 4th 11 UTC and 5th 20 UTC (2011) several rain bands associated to a typical winter frontal instability crossed the radar domain from West to East. About 16 short-range forecasts produced with and without the FA technique were verified using also the radar data and a new method for measuring position errors. This verification method works with clusters that delineate rainy structures on observations and forecasts and determines the disparity in positions between them.

Different tests with variations of the method indicate that the FA correction does not survive long in the forecasts (see right). The reasons for this state of affaires are several, including specific issues related not to the FA method itself but to the assimilation of reflectivity data in HARMONIE-AROME. We expect to continue with this research and achieve more satisfactory results in the next future.

A complete description and discussion of the results can be found in:

Carlos Geijo, "Assimilation of Radar Reflectivity Data using the Field Alignment Technique". HIRLAM Newsletters N59.

