

## Producing probabilistic weather forecasts for renewable energy applications

**RJ Keane**, R Kohlhepp, T Heppelmann, Z Ben Bouallègue, M Buchhold Deutscher Wetterdienst, DWD

36th EWGLAM and 21st SRNWP Meeting, 29th September – 2nd October 2014

COSMO-DE-EPS was originally developed for the probabilistic forecasting of extreme weather

→ We aim to extend its applicability so that it is also optimized towards helping to improve probabilistic forecasts of weatherdependent power production

→ Two current projects at DWD are particularly important to this work:

- → EWeLiNE
  - → Focused (here) on forecasts on 0-48 hrs range
  - Involves TSOs and power forecast producers
- → ORKA

→ Focused on "worse-case scenario" calculations and risk management, and on the short forecast range (0-12 hrs)

Involves DSOs, aswell as TSOs and power forecast producers









- Overview of COSMO-DE-EPS
- Results from fine-tuning the initial condition perturbations for COSMO-DE-EPS
- Introduction of initial conditions from KENDA
- → A new verification metric: ensemble added value
- Postprocessing of ensemble wind speed forecasts











## Overview of COSMO-DE-EPS

- Results from fine-tuning the initial condition perturbations for COSMO-DE-EPS
- Introduction of initial conditions from KENDA
- → A new verification metric: ensemble added value
- Postprocessing of ensemble wind speed forecasts







## **COSMO-DE-EPS: Current setup**

**Deutscher Wetterdienst** Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand

Fraunhofer

IWES



- Horizontal resolution 2.8 km
- Operational from May 2012
- → 8 starts per day (from 00, 03,..,18, 21 UTC)
- → Lead time up to 27 h, soon: 45 h (3 UTC)



![](_page_4_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **COSMO-DE-EPS: Ongoing work**

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

Extension to 40 members

→ Extended model domain, increased horizontal (2.2 km) and vertical resolution

→ Adjustment of vertical filtering of initial condition perturbations

Initial conditions based on a Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter (developed within the KENDA project)

- More physics perturbations
- Inclusion of stochastic physics
- Further development of calibration and verification methods

![](_page_5_Figure_10.jpeg)

Höhe der Orographie in m

🜌 Fraunhofer

![](_page_5_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Overview of COSMO-DE-EPS

# Results from fine-tuning the initial condition perturbations for COSMO-DE-EPS

- Introduction of initial conditions from KENDA
- → A new verification metric: ensemble added value
- Postprocessing of ensemble wind speed forecasts

![](_page_6_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_11.jpeg)

**Deutscher Wetterdienst** Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

Experiment with adding an orography dependence to the vertical filter:

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **Orography-dependent filter**

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

Compared against orography-independent filter (as run operationally in March 2013)

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

Wind speed (against obs. at 9 stations, up to 250m height)

Solar radiation (32 stations)

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Linear filter**

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Now operational!

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

Wind speed (4 stations, up to 250m height)

Solar radiation (25 stations)

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Overview of COSMO-DE-EPS

Results from fine-tuning the initial condition perturbations for COSMO-DE-EPS

#### Introduction of initial conditions from KENDA

- → A new verification metric: ensemble added value
- Postprocessing of ensemble wind speed forecasts

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

→ Compared with operational COSMO-DE-EPS setup (i.e. with BCEPS initial conditions), for 20th – 25th July 2012, for 00UTC forecasts

- → ICON with LETKF used as boundary for the KENDA assimilation cycle.
- → Same boundary conditions (BCEPS) and physics perturbations (5 parameters) for both forecasts
- No latent heat nudging in KENDA run
- Only the first 20 KENDA members are used
- → These are intermediate results, as KENDA remains under development

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### **KENDA: 2 metre temperature**

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

Pamprion

#### **KENDA: 10 metre wind gusts**

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

Deutscher Wetterdienst

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)

Pamprion

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Overview of COSMO-DE-EPS
- Results from fine-tuning the initial condition perturbations for COSMO-DE-EPS
- Introduction of initial conditions from KENDA
- A new verification metric: ensemble added value
- Postprocessing of ensemble wind speed forecasts

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### **Verification of ensemble added value**

**Deutscher Wetterdienst** Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

Based on quantile score:

$$QS\{\tau\} = \rho\left(x_{\text{obs}} - x\{\tau\}\right)$$

$$\rho(u) = \begin{cases} \tau u & \text{if } u > 0\\ (\tau - 1)u & \text{if } u < 0 \end{cases}$$

This can be decomposed into a reliability component and a potential component

The potential component is the potential score after calibration

![](_page_15_Figure_8.jpeg)

EWeLiNE

$$EAV = 1 - \frac{\sum_{\tau} QS_{\text{pot}}\{\tau\}}{\sum_{\tau} QS_{\text{pot,ref}}\{\tau\}}$$

6

**Deutscher Wetterdienst** 

Netter und Klima aus einer Hand

50hertz

Where here the reference is a randomly selected ensemble member

![](_page_15_Picture_11.jpeg)

 $QS = QS_{rel} + QS_{pot}$ 

Tennet

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

Rolling 90 day verification window Grey shading indicates 5-90% confidence intervals Applied to 03UTC forecasts of clearness index, in 2013

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

As a function of time of year, with 90-day rolling verification window

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

Forecast lead time [h] As a function of forecast lead time, for April-May-June

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

rennet

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Overview of COSMO-DE-EPS
- Results from fine-tuning the initial condition perturbations for COSMO-DE-EPS
- Introduction of initial conditions from KENDA
- → A new verification metric: ensemble added value
- Postprocessing of ensemble wind speed forecasts

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

- → Applied here to wind speeds at ~100m
- Non-homogeneous (bivariate) Gaussian regression using the following steps:
  - Linear regression of the mean wind vector
  - Maximum likelihood estimation of the variances
  - Trigonometric function applied to correlation coefficient between wind components, as a function of forecast wind direction
- → 40 day training period
- Ensemble copular coupling is then applied to ensure that the ordering of the members is physically consistent
- Calibration locally applied at 5 locations in Northern Germany and Denmark, for 03 UTC forecasts

![](_page_18_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### **Ensemble post-processing**

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Before calibration

After calibration

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

Deutscher Wetterdienst

050hertz

Tennet Taking power further

13

Fraunhofer

#### **Ensemble post-processing**

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Quantile forecast example: Hamburg, 25 Jan 2013, with observed wind speed at station

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Before calibration

After calibration

Pamprion

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

Deutscher Wetterdienst

![](_page_20_Picture_10.jpeg)

rennet

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

→ Fine-tuning to the initial condition perturbations has lead to improvements in probabilistic forecasts relevant for renewable energy

- This has now been incorporated into the operational system at DWD
- KENDA is a promising method for producing initial conditions
  - This could be combined with the current setup at DWD
- → The ensemble is useful for solar radiation forecasts all year round, with greatest added value in summer months, and increasing with lead time
- Post processing can correct many of the remaining deficiencies in the model
  - The bivariate EMOS method produces a well-calibrated ensemble for wind speed

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Improvement to the handling of model error
  - Perturbation of new parameters in the standard setup
  - Introduction of stochastic physics perturbations
- Further development of the KENDA system

Further tuning of the setup for deterministic forecasts (see also talk by Christoph Schraff)

Use of ICON LETKF to provide boundary conditions for the forecast

- Postprocessing of radiation forecasts
- Further development of the postprocessing of wind speed forecasts
  - Possibly to include postprocessing on the model grid

![](_page_22_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_15.jpeg)

#### **Verification of ensemble added value**

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

Based on quantile score:

$$QS{\tau} = (x_{obs} - x{\tau})$$
  
×  $(\tau - H{x{\tau} - x_{obs}})$ 

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

<sup>x</sup>obs

This can be decomposed into a reliability component and a potential component

The potential component is the potential score after calibration

The ensemble added value is then given as a skill score as

$$EAV = 1 - \frac{\sum_{\tau} QS_{\text{pot}}\{\tau\}}{\sum_{\tau} QS_{\text{pot,ref}}\{\tau\}}$$

Where here the reference is a randomly selected ensemble member

 $QS = QS_{rel} + QS_{pot}$ 

х

![](_page_23_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

Erstellung innovativer Wetter- und Leistungsprognosemodelle für die Netzintegration wetterabhängiger Energieträger

- → Key research areas:
  - Optimization of the model system towards energy applications (wind and solar)
  - Integration of new types of data (power production) into meteorological prediction system
  - Development of forecast products in close communication with the users
- Feedback from a wide range of user communities

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

→ Funded by:

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

The German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy

- Aim: to iteratively improve the whole forecast chain from the meteorological to the power ensemble forecasts, especially in order to
  - secure grid stability by using meteorological ensemble forecasts for "worst case" scenario calculations and risk management,
  - $\rightarrow$  with a special focus on the short forecast range (0-12 hrs)
- Project partners and associates:

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_11.jpeg)