## Verification of NMMB model using METEOSAT SEVIRI data

Bojan Kašić and Miloš Lompar EWGLAM 6<sup>th</sup> October 2015

# Motivation

- ECMWF made first synthetic satellite image 1991
- Help forecasters to get insight into model accuracy
- Help NWP to select correct setup and parameterization options

## **Motivation**



NMMB-Ferrier 4Km Sinthetic METEOSAT 10 SEVIRI (WV 6.2) Start:13.05.2014. 00UTC Valid:14.05.2014. 21UTC



METEOSAT 10 SEVIRI (WV 6.2) Valid:14.05.2014. 21UTC



Start:13.05.2014. 00UTC Valid:14.05.2014. 21UTC 47N 451

44N

4.3N

42N

41N

12E

NMMB-Ferrier 4Km Counts Difference WV 6.2



# Model

#### • NMMB

- Global, 0.47°x0.33°, 64 vertical layers, model top is 10 mb surface, i.c. GFSanalysis, 00/12 UTC,
- Regional, 1-way nasting:
  - horizontal resolution 12 Km, 64 vertical layers; forecast period 120h; NMMB-glob b.c
  - 4 Km, 64 vertical layers; 72h ahead, no convection parametrization
- CRTM incorporated into UPP
  - uses model predicted cloud, moisture, and surface fields as input
  - Allow users to make direct comparisons between satellite observations and model forecast
  - Adjusted to calculate synthetic Radiance, Brightness Temperature, and Counts for SEVIRI sensor
  - 7 channels (wv6.2, wv7.3, ir8.7, ir9.7, ir10.8, <sup>303</sup> ir12, ir13.4)
  - Thompson and Ferrier single moment (only predict mas-mixing ratio) schemes.
     CRTM has to specify droplet size distribution in order to calculate radiation properties.





GrADS: COLA/IGES

# Methods of validation

- Histogram based technique
- Standard verification scores: Bias, False Alarm Ratio, Threat Score, Probability of Detection
- 6.2µm and 10.8µm
- Two different microphysics parameterization: New-Ferrier and Thompson
- Seasonal verification (summer 2015) and a case study (floods in may 2014)

#### Results











































![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Case study

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Circles > 100mm
- Squares > 80mm
- Triangles > 60mm

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

NMMB-Ferrier 4Km Sinthetic METEOSAT 10 SEVIRI (IR 10.8) Start:13.05.2014. 00UTC Valid:14.05.2014. 21UT(

48N

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

NMMB-Ferrier 4Km BT METEOSAT 10 SEVIRI (IR 10.8) Start:13.05.2014. 00UTC Valid:14.05.2014. 21UTC

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

NMMB-Ferrier4KmBrightnessTemperatureDifferenceIR10.8:tart:13.05.2014.00UTCValid:14.05.2014.21UTC

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

 NMMB-Thompson 4Km
 BT
 METEOSAT
 10
 SEVIRI
 (IR
 10.8)
 Start: 13.05.2014.
 00UTC
 Valid: 14.05.2014.
 21UTC

![](_page_20_Figure_10.jpeg)

VMMB-Thompson 4Km Brightness Temperature Difference IR 10.8 Start:13.05.2014. 00UTC Valid:14.05.2014. 21UTC

![](_page_20_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

NMMB-Thompson 4Km BT METEOSAT 10 SEVIRI (WV 6.2) Start:13.05.2014. 00UTC Valid:14.05.2014. 21UTC

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

243

240 237

234 231

228 225

222 219

216

244 242

240

238

236 234

232 230

228 226

224

268

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

NMMB-Thompson 4Km Brightness Temperature Difference WV 6.2 Start:13.05.2014. 00UTC Valid:14.05.2014. 21UTC

![](_page_21_Figure_6.jpeg)

18E

20E

22E

24E

12E

14E

16E

NMMB-Ferrier 4Km Sinthetic METEOSAT 10 SEVIRI (WV 6.2) Start:13.05.2014. 00UTC Valid:14.05.2014. 21UT(

![](_page_21_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_9.jpeg)

NMMB-Ferrier 4Km BT METEOSAT 10 SEVIRI (WV 6.2) Start:13.05.2014. 00UTC Valid:14.05.2014. 21UTC

20E

22E

24E

![](_page_21_Figure_11.jpeg)

IMMB-Ferrier4KmBrightnessTemperatureDifferenceWV6.2:art:13.05.2014..00UTCValid:14.05.2014..21UTC

-6

-9 -12

-15

12E

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Summary

- Both schemes have pros and cons.
- Model tends to over-predict clear sky conditions and to under-predict low and medium depth clouds during warmest time of a day.
- Ferrier scheme gives deeper clouds / more high-tops clouds then Thompson.
- Skill does not decrease during first 48 hours of forecast.
- It takes time for model to create clouds (12h forecast has the worst scores).
- Model tends to predict moderate amount of water vapor in the troposphere more often then observed, under-predicts situations with extremely low wv.
- Histograms, because standard scores are bad if modeled clouds are shifted a bit.
- Satellite images could be useful as addition to more conventional verification methods.
- IR 10.8 channel is in strong correlation with SFC temp. It can be used for verification where measurements are not available.

## Questions

bojan.kasic@hidmet.gov.rs