Potential of stochastic methods for improving convection-permitting ensemble forecasts of extreme events over the Western Mediterranean

Alejandro Hermoso, Víctor Homar and Robert S. Plant

alejandro.hermoso@env.ethz.ch

This work has been published in Hermoso, A., Homar, V., Plant, R.S., 2021: Potential of stochastic methods for improving convection-permitting ensemble forecasts of extreme events over the western Mediterranean. Atmos. Res. 257, 105571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105571 Introduction

Motivation

• The **western Mediterranean** region is frequently affected by severe weather, and especially **heavy precipitation and flash flooding**.



Introduction

Motivation

- The western Mediterranean region is frequently affected by severe weather, and especially heavy precipitation and flash flooding.
- Key factors: upper-level cold disturbances, complex orography, relatively high SST





Introduction

Motivation

- The western Mediterranean region is frequently affected by severe weather, and especially heavy precipitation and flash flooding.
- Key factors: upper-level cold disturbances, complex orography, relatively high SST
- Small-to-medium size catchments (100-1000 km²)
 Short response times
- Short predictability horizon of socially relevant features
- All relevant uncertainties at convective-scale must be considered
- Focus on model error in this study





The episode of Valencia, Murcia and Almeria of 12-13 September 2019 is a remarkable example for various reasons: precipitation amounts, duration and wide-spread and complex hydrological response.

Total accumulated **precipitation near 500 mm** in 2 days

The episode produced devastating effects including **7 fatalities** and estimated **economical losses of 425 M€**.



Upper-levels synoptic situation



Low-levels synoptic situation

12 Sep 00 UTC



9

Episode phases

- The precipitation of the episode can be divided in **three phases**:
 - Phase 1: Thin line of convection around Cap de la Nau
 - > 12 September 00-06 UTC
 - Hourly intensities > 65 mm
 - Phase 2: Linear precipitation structure at Vega Baja
 - ➤ 12 September 06-18 UTC
 - Hourly intensities ~100 mm
 - Phase 3: Precipitation associated to a mesoscale convective system in Murcia
 12 September 18 UTC-13 September 12 UTC
 Hourly intensities > 100 mm





Murcia radar image 12 September 2019 04 UTC



Murcia radar image 12 September 2019 11 UTC



Radar estimated 12 September 06-12 UTC accumulated precipitation



Radar estimated 13 September 00-06 UTC accumulated precipitation

Almeria radar image 13 September 2019 03:30 UTC Methodology

Simulation set-up

- The model used is the WRF-ARW v3.9.1
- 2.5 km horizontal resolution and 50 vertical levels
- 30 h lead time (6 h for spin-up and 24 h effective)
- Initialization times: 11 September 18 UTC and 12 September 18 UTC
- 10 different initial conditions selected with a k-means clustering algorithm from the 50-member
 ECMWF-EPS
- 50-members ensembles introducing model error



Simulation domain

Multiphysics (MPS)

- 5 different combinations of microphysics and planetary boundary layer
- Microphysics: NSSL 2-moment, WSM6, Thompson
- PBL: MYNN, MYJ
- Same radiation (RRTMG) and land-surface (RUC)
- No parameterised convection

Microphysics	PBL	
NSSL 2-mom	MYNN	Baseline configuration
WSM6	MYNN	
Thompson	MYNN	
NSSL 2-mom	MYJ	
WSM6	MYJ	

SPPT

- Stochastic perturbed physics tendencies (SPPT) from Berner et al. (2015)
- Total physics tendencies are multiplied by a spatially and temporally correlated random pattern:

•
$$X = X_{dyn} + X_{phys}$$
 $X'_{phys} = (1+r)X_{phys}$



Spatial correlation: 100 km Temporal correlation: 1h Variance: 0.25

MPRP and SPPT_MPRP

- Microphysics perturbations are not included in WRF current implementation
- The approach: perturb specific parameters within the microphysics scheme following McCabe et al. (2016)
- Parameters evolve with time stochastically. Only temporal correlation
- Parameters perturbed: CCN, graupel and hail fall factors, saturation percentage for cloud formation
- Two ensembles: MPRP (only microphysics perturbations) and SPPT_MPRP
 (combination of both)



Spread characteristics (phase 1)



20

12 18 24 30 36 42 48 54 60 66 72

Spread characteristics (phase 2)



Spread characteristics (phase 3)



Perturbation characteristics

Single member comparison



Precipitation verification

- 3-h accumulated precipitation
- Radar reflectivity data from València, Murcia and Almería radars
- Data coming from 10-min reflectivity volume scans at 1 km resolution spanning and 12 elevations.
- Corrected radar errors: partial beam occlusion and signal attenuation
- Radar precipitation calibrated with rain-gauge data (369 automatic rain gauges)
- Brier skill score computed using MPS as reference





Multiphysics outperforms stochastic schemes during phases 1 and 2 (0-18 h) for low thresholds Improvement of stochastic techniques for higher thresholds (not significant) Significant differences between MPS and MPRP methods at the beginning of phase 3 (18-24 h)





Multiphysics outperforms stochastic schemes during phases 1 and 2 (0-18 h) for low thresholds Improvement of stochastic techniques for higher thresholds (not significant) Significant differences between MPS and MPRP methods at the beginning of phase 3 (18-24 h)

Brier skill score 13 September



REFLECTIVIDAD (DB

Brier skill score 13 September



Ensemble features at catchment scale

• Analysis of maximum 1-h precipitation intensity in 6-h intervals over eight catchments compared against rain gauge values



Ensemble features at catchment scale (phase 1)



Observed maximum hourly intensities reproduced by all ensembles except Beniarrés



Increase of ensemble spread produced by microphysics perturbations in Moixent

Larger ensemble spread for stochastic experiments in Bellús



Ensemble features at catchment scale (phase 2)



Higher spread over central and southern basins, where larger precipitation amounts were registered

All ensemble strategies fail at reproducing the observed precipitation intensity in Salada





Ensemble features at catchment scale (phase 3)



Extreme values produced by some SPPT members

Combination of multiple stochastic schemes (SPPT_MPRP) result in a reduction of these extremes

Some false alarms are produced over the northern catchments





Conclusions

Conclusions

- An examination of multiple methods to account for model uncertainties in a heavy precipitation episode has been performed
- Microphysics perturbations:
 - Substantial influence on the development of the episode
 - Lower initial spatial correlation, but intensified in areas of high convective instability



Conclusions

- An examination of multiple methods to account for model uncertainties in a heavy precipitation episode has been performed
- Microphysics perturbations:
 - Substantial influence on the development of the episode
 - Lower initial spatial correlation, but intensified in areas of high convective instability
- During the last phase, stochastic perturbations produce more skilful forecasts





Conclusions

- An examination of multiple methods to account for model uncertainties in a heavy precipitation episode has been performed
- Microphysics perturbations:
 - Substantial influence on the development of the episode
 - Lower initial spatial correlation, but intensified in areas of high convective instability
- During the **last phase**, **stochastic** perturbations produce **more skilful** forecasts
- The **increase in ensemble spread** of stochastic techniques is also noted at **catchment scale**



h) MPRP 12 Sep 12-18 UTC



