Machine Learning Uncertainty Quantification for Predicting Hazards and Impacts from Convection-Allowing LAMs





EWGLAM Workshop 25 September 2023



This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977.

The NCAR Machine Integration and Learning for Earth Systems (MILES) Group







David John Gagne ML Scientist II CISL/RAL

John Schreck ML Scientist CISL

Engineer

Unidata

Gabrielle Charlie Gantos **Becker** Associate Data Associate Data Scientist II Scientist II CISL CISL



Kirsten Mayer Project Scientist I CGD



Will Chapman Project Scientist I CGD



Mariana Cains Project Scientist I MMM





Jacob Radford Chris Wirz Project Scientist I MMM







Maria Molina Professor U. Maryland



Chuang Integration Engineer LEAP



Julie

Demuth

Project

Scientist III

MMM

Jeff Anderson Senior Scientist CISL



Admin CISL





CISL





Penn

State

Outlaw Intern CISL

Bill Petzke Software Engineer III, RAL





The NCAR/UCAR AI Web



AI2ES: Developing Trustworthy AI Systems with User and Domain Expert Guidance





Median Soundings by Evidential Uncertainty



Assessing the Trustworthiness of AI/ML Forecast Guidance



Forecasters need to personally use a model or piece of guidance over time mgcains@ucar.edu to build trust in it.

Vision: Al2ES is developing *novel*, *physically based* Al techniques that are demonstrated to be *trustworthy*, and will directly improve *prediction*, *understanding*, *and communication* of high-impact weather and climate hazards.

CISL: David John Gagne, John Schreck, Charlie Becker, Gabrielle Gantos **MMM**: Julie Demuth, Chris Wirz, Mariana Cains **RAL**: Bill Petzke **Unidata**: Thomas Martin



Motivation: The Limits of Convection-Allowing Models



Convection-allowing NWP models (CAMs) can resolve individual storms, their modes, and their intensities.

Storm hazards (tornadoes, hail, high winds, flash floods) depend on processes unresolvable for CAMS.

Motivation: Machine Learning Hazard Probabilities Derived from CAMs

Grid-Based HREF Random Forest (Loken et al.)



HREF+SREF Gradient Boosting (Hempel et al.)





What else can machine learning infer from CAM output?

Storm Properties? Timing? Impacts? Uncertainty?

Grid-based HRRR Neural Net (Sobash et al.)



Storm-based HREF Random Forest (Burke/Gagne et al.)



6

Storm Mode: Motivation

Goal: Develop techniques to objectively identify convective mode in convectionallowing models (CAMs) using machine learning (ML) algorithms.



- **1. Supervised learning** using hand-labeled CAM storm objects and ML algorithms
- 1. Unsupervised/semi-supervised learning using CAM storm objects, ML, and clustering algorithms

Diagnosing Storm Mode with Deep Learning in Convection-Allowing Models

Ryan A. Sobash, David John Gagne II, Charlie L. Becker, David Ahijevych, Gabrielle N. Gantos, and Craig S. Schwartz

Online Publication: 05 May 2023 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-22-0342.1

Storm Mode: Segmentation, Tracking and Labeling



Hagelslag: segment storms and track with image processing techniques

Challenge: segment storms with different spatial scales

Solution: define storms based on two radar reflectivity thresholds and merge adjacent objects



Hand Labeling: web interface

Challenge: disagreements about storm mode among labelers Solutions: hierarchical classification, confidence ratings,

multiple raters for each storm.

Model Architectures



Challenge: Storm does not fit entirely within image patch

Solutions: use summary metrics based on full storm extent, expand patch, use a grid-based segmentation

Challenge: Pre-processing pipeline changed during project

Solutions: relabel quickly using proxy labels and bulk labeling of storms based on clusters

Model Performance

QLCS 114 storms	ROCA	BSS	Supercell 113 storms	ROCA	BSS	Disorganized 529 storms	ROCA	BSS
CNN	0.879	0.286	CNN	0.891	0.427	CNN	0.855	0.335
GMM	0.895	0.005	GMM	0.900	0.302	GMM	0.869	0.186
DNN	0.918	0.412	DNN	0.901	0.448	DNN	0.891	0.452
LR	0.895	0.359	LR	0.866	0.373	LR	0.869	0.409
CNN-DNN avg	0.921	0.392	CNN-DNN avg	0.911	0.480	CNN-DNN avg	0.890	0.439

Storms with Predicted Mode Agreement



Challenge: evaluate storm mode models without a massive hand labeling effort

Solution: examine consistency among storm labels and conditional probability of different severe hazards given mode

Storm Mode Visualization Pipeline



CRISIS

- Tornado risks and impacts are influenced by intersecting meteorological and societal factors
- The goal of integrated tornado risk modeling (e.g., Strader et al. 2016) is to understand and reduce impacts on people
- Modeling of population mobility in tornado risk models is limited
 - Many studies assume a static population (e.g., Hatzis et al. 2020)
 - Some recent work accounts for day-night population differences (Strader et al. 2022)
 - Limited understanding of uncertainties in population estimates and how those intersect with dynamic meteorological data
- How does one integrate spatio-temporally varying meteorological and societal data into a convergent risk model?
- What can we learn from this process about integrated tornado risks and associated uncertainties?

Leads: David John Gagne (CISL), Olga Wilhelmi (RAL), Rebecca Morss (MMM)





What is a more robust estimate of the exposure to a tornado? What is the uncertainty?

CRISIS: Integration





Generate probabilities of tornado, probability distributions of how many tornadoes are expected to occur, and 10,000 synthetic tracks.

Intersect with Population



Intersect tornado tracks with a time-interpolated 100 m grid of population density data and sum the number of people exposed within each track.



Determine probability of exposure from number of tracks impacting population and aggregate with tornado and count probabilities to produce unconditional exposure estimate.

CRISIS: Conditional Probabilities



CRISIS: Integrated Probabilities



16

CRISIS: Population Trends



Evidential Deep Learning



Decomposition of Uncertainty

Aleatoric Uncertainty



Definition: Uncertainty from variation in data. **Estimated by**: Single probabilistic AI model. **Reduce by**: Gather more informative inputs

Epistemic Uncertainty



Definition: Uncertainty from variation in models. **Estimated by**: Ensemble of deterministic Al models.

Reduce by: Gather more examples or use simpler models.

Total Uncertainty

Collaborators

John Schreck, Charlie Becker, Gabrielle Gantos, Julie Demuth, Chris Wirz, Jacob Radford, Nick Bassil, Kara Sulia, Chris Thorncroft, Amy McGovern, Eliot Kim, Justin Willson, Kim Elmore, Maria Molina **Definition**: Combined aleatoric and epistemic uncertainty. **Estimated by**:

- 1) Ensemble of probabilistic AI models
- 2) Single "evidential" (higher-order probabilistic) Al model
- 3) Bayesian AI models



19

Evidential Model Architectures



Compute S, evidential u,

and the probabilities p_k

(Amini et al. 2020) Predict evidence for parameters for each task Loss = Evidential, Number of outputs = 4Post-prediction: Compute mean, aleatoric, and epistemic uncertainties

Hidden layers

 f_w

(i)

(ii)

(iii)

each task

Loss = NLL

Linear

 $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

 $p(y,v,\alpha,\beta)$

Number of outputs = 2

(ii) Parametric Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$:

Predict the mean and variance for

 $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{i} = \mathbf{f}_{w}(\mathbf{x}_{i})$

μ

X

V

 σ^2

β

α

20

Probabilistic Forecast Example: Classifying Winter Precipitation Type

<u>Data</u>

- > NOAA Rapid Refresh Vertical Profiles
- Interpolate from pressure to height coords

Input (0 - 5 km above surface, every 250 meters)

➤ Temperature, Dewpoint, U-Wind, V-Wind

<u>Target</u>

- mPING Crowd-sourced reports of winter precipitation types
 - ➤ Rain, Snow, Sleet, Freezing Rain



Precipitation-type Validation



How well does each type of uncertainty discriminate between easier and harder to classify events?

Regional Case Study



Evidential Precipitation Type Uncertainties Valid 2016-12-17-0000 UTC

- 0.45

- 0.35

- 0.25

- 0.15

0.05



23

Uncertainty Drivers



MILES Group Python Packages

- miles-guess (github.com/ai2es/miles-guess):
 - Implementations of evidential neural networks, deep ensembles, and Monte Carlo dropout
- echo-opt (github.com/NCAR/echo-opt):
 - Distributed hyperparameter optimization on HPC systems
 - Supports GPU allocation, XAI visualization for hyperparameter settings
- hagelslag (github.com/djgagne/hagelslag):
 - Object segmentation, tracking, and data extraction for convection-allowing model output
 - verification scores and plots
- **bridgescaler** (github.com/NCAR/bridgescaler):
 - Reproducible saving/loading of sklearn preprocessing scalers and transforms
 - Custom scalers for groups of variables and image patches

Summary







Diagnosing convective mode helps forecasters adjust their mental models of timing and hazards. Intersecting hazard and population data reveals particularly sensitive areas.

Evidential deep learning decomposes uncertainty to provide more physical insight and guide model updates.