

Highlights of verification activities in COSMO consortium

Flora Gofa, HNMS

F. Fundel (DWD), A. Iriza-Burca (NMA), J. Linkowska (IMGW-PIB), N. Vela ARPA-PT), S. Gabrian (NMA), S. Dinicila (NMA), M.S.Tesini (ARPA-E), F. Sudati (CNMCA), D. Boucouvala (HNMS), P. Kaufmann (MCH), E. Oberto (, P. Khain (IMS), M. Bogdan (NMA), B. Maco (NMA)

45^h EWGLAM & 30th SRNWP Meeting, Reykjavik, 25-28.09.2023

WG on Verification and Case Studies ScPl derived Guidelines

http://www.cosmo-model.org/content/consortium/reports/default.htm

- Common Verification framework: *MEC/FFV2 platform* for standardized verification, developments concerning EPS and conditional verification capabilities (*PP-CARMENs*)
- Spatial verification techniques: Analyse how methods relate to one another, how each method works, what information could be gleaned from each method, and whether a given method actually conveys any useful information *PP-INSPECT, PP-AWARE, SINFONY (DWD)*
- Severe and High Impact Weather. Forecast methods and verification are important aspects of any HIW consideration. *PP-AWARE* addressed issues such the representation in the observations of HIW, importance of observation uncertainty, systematic and stochastic errors of HIW forecasts and their sensitivity to model resolution.
- Utilization of non-conventional observational datasets: *PT-EPOCS* on assessing the use of weather data from Personal Weather Stations (PWS) and other Opportunistic Sensors (OS).





45th EWGLAM & 30th SRNWP Meeting, Reykjavik, 25-28.09.2023



45th EWGLAM & 30th SRNWP Meetina. Revkiavik. 25-28.09.2023

User defined subdomains

- Verification can be performed on user defined model sub-domains
- Subdomains can be defined by polygons or station lists
- Old: overlapping sub-domains were not allowed due to unclear observation handling
- New: overlap is allowed

Application example:

Calculate and show scores for common area 1 and 2 in one run







Deutscher Wetterdienst

Advances in Feedback File based Verification

Conditional verification

- Conditional verification capabilities of FFV2 were restricted to data contained in feedback files (e.g. verifying T2M as function of TCC)
- Sometimes additional external input is needed for a conditional^{2.0}
 verification
- Single external field (e.g. extpar data) can be used to define conditions (e.g. scores for classes of roughness length)
- External data and category thresholds must be specified by namelist
- Grid structure of model and external data must be the same!
- Comparison of models on different grids is not advisable.
- Supporting only icosahedral grids, lat/lon support could be implemented on request.
- For better comparison between conditions a RMSE normalized by observation mean (nRMSE) was introduced.



2023/04/30-21UTC - 2023/05/31-21UTC

RMSE

FF (m/s)

INI: 00 UTC, DOM: ALL , STAT: ALL









Categorical Scores in Ensemble Verification

- Motivation: finding the best probability threshold for decision making based on ensemble forecasts
- Simple but effective verification solution is to give a categorical score for all possible probabilities
- I.e. the ensemble forecast for an event is treated as hit if the probability to exceed the event reaches a certain threshold of a score
- For more extreme events scores like the SEDI are included







G

 \leftarrow) \rightarrow

NWP Meteorological Test Suite @ ECMWF

Coarse and high resolution experiments based on NEW ICON model versions Hindcast mode experiments Winter and summer period

http://www.cosmo-model.org/shiny/users/fdbk/





FFV2 Verification Software

Felix Fundel, DWD

Package https://gitlab.com/rfxf/ffv2

Install git clone git@gitlab.com:rfxf/FFV2.git R CMD INSTALL FFV2



Run (example)

Rscript ../Rlib/FFV2/demo/starter_scores_by_date.Rnamelist.nl SYNOP DET 6 Rscript ../Rlib/FFV2/demo/starter_aggregate.Rnamelist.nl SYNOP DET 6

Performance differences between COSMO and ICON

Sunshine Duration

Sunshine = direct shortwave radiation > 200 W m⁻²



45th EWGLAM & 30th SRNWP Meeting, Reykjavik, 25-28.09.2023

 $\Delta - \Delta$ Observations

Performance differences between COSMO and ICON

Shortwave (Global) Radiation

- ICON only slightly stronger overestimation
- → Problem due to partitioning between direct and diffuse

ICON

MeteoSchweiz



45th EWGLAM & 30th SRNWP Meeting, Reykjavik, 25-28.09.2023

ML Weather Fronts Prediction and Application in Verification

Motivation

- Making use of ML in verification
- Making use of weather front analysis from DWD forecasters (human)
- Train ML model to identify fronts in forecasts
- Verify in the vicinity of fronts
- Verify properties of front predictions as objects

Literature:

- ML superior to classical methods (Niebler et al., WCD, 2021)
- Methodology inspired by Biard and Kunkel, ASCMO, 2019

Advantages:

- Front analysis and prediction based on (ICON) model only (consistent in time)
- Al should learn robust front properties and (hopefully) discard personal forecaster preferences
- Al can predict fronts for every model date, not just 00 & 12 UTC and some selected forecast times
- Al might help quantify and understand model errors
- Al might support forecasters for drawing fronts or fast identification of areas of relevant weather







Data

Front Analysis (human)

- Drawn by DWD forecasters
- Export of Ninjo meteobjects in gml (xml) format (lines)
- Provided 00 & 12UTC
- North-Atlantic Europe
- Started March 2021, total of >1800 cases and growing
- Recently also forecasts are provided (+36,48,60,94,108h)

Postprocessing

- Extracting front lines from gml file
- Define a buffer zone of 100 km around the front line (analysis uncertainty)
- Convert polygon to pixel map on model grid (256x128, 0.4°x0.4°)
- Resulting pixel map of 4 categories (warm-front, coldfront, occlusion and no front)

Model Input (Predictors)

- ICON global deterministic (~0.12°), vv=0
- PMSL, RH2M, T2M, U10M, V10M
- Regridded with CDO
- Horizontal res. ~0.4° (256x128 grid points)*
- Each variable normalized









Deutscher Wetterdienst Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand Felix Fundel

ML Weather Fronts Prediction and Application in Verification

ML Model

- Written with the Tensorflow library with Keras functionality
- 2d convolutional Encoder-Decoder NN with concatenation of features from the encoder path (Unet)

Prediction

- Some examples from the validation data set (unseen during training)
- red: warm front, blue: cold front, violet: occlusion
- Transparency ~ class probability
- No front is drawn if "no-front" probability is >60%



- Realistic front prediction.
- Discarding lower probabilities will lead to sharper fronts and less artefacts but some true features might be lost.







Station Based Verification

- Observation based verification has been upgraded to ingest varying polygons as mask for a conditional verification
- Forecast errors are calculated for observations in the vicinity of cold- and warm fronts and occlusions
- RMSE can be misleading, normalized RMSE is more appropriate
- SYNOP: Wind forecasts equally good in all classes, humidity and cloud cover probably influenced by range of data.
- SCATT: Higher forecast errors in front classes, especially warm fronts and occlusions.





EPOCS (Evaluate Personal Weather Station and Opportunistic Sensor Data CrowdSourcing) PL: Joanna Linkowska, IMGW-IB



The aim of PT EPOCS is to assess the use of weather data from Personal Weather Stations (PWS) and other Opportunistic Sensors (OS).

The main scientific aims of this PP are:

- The development and testing of data Quality Control (QC) algorithms.
- The evaluation of quality and usefulness of this data for potential applications (nowcasting, NWP and model forecast verification)

IMGW-PIB: Joanna Linkowska, Jan Szturc, Anna Jurczyk, Katarzyna Ośródka, Marcin Grzelczyk, +Radosław Droździoł CIMA: Massimo Milelli, Elena Obert, Umberto Pellegrini CNMCA: Francesco Sudati

COSMO GENERAL MEETING, Gdańsk, 11-14.09.2023







PWS databases survey and exploitation

The applicability of PWS data generated by the distributed network of private owners depends highly on centralized API based storage system allowing for a near-real time access to obs. Survey was made of available data platforms at the European and Global level with the analysis of the API data access. Legal limitations for data usage is a key issue in data application for the research and operational use.

No	Web service	Stations in EU	API	Privacy Policy (Terms of service)	API Data
1	Wunderground (<u>www.wunderground.co</u> <u>m</u>)	EU >1000	Yes, professional	Not commercial or science usage; only personal use; commercial need to pay	All data (observation, historical above 5min steps)
2	Aeris weather (www.pwsweather.com/)	EU >1000 (DE, GE, IT, PL ~60, RO, CH)	Yes, professional	<u>Attribution required</u> for public usage,	1,000 accesses/day (rate limit: 100 accesses/minute), Observations, Daily Forecasts (7 days), Hourly Forecast (24 Hours), Sun & Moon, Places, Alerts, Observations/Summary, Observations/Archive, Air Quality
3	Awekas (<u>www.awekas.at</u>)	EU >1000 (DE, GE, IT, PL ~90, RO, CH)	No, only text information without download	Not commercial or science usage; only personal use after pay annual fee (<u>https://www.awekas.at/wp/shop/licenses/awekas-stationsweb-annual- fee/?lang=en</u>)	No API, but have primitive export option
4	Meteomatics (https://www.meteomati cs.com/), WMO (https://public.wmo.int/e n/programmes/public- weather-services- programme)	EU = 13154 station of WMO	Yes, professional	<u>free basic package</u> for non-commercial use, but this has only forecast data and 24 hours of historical data (no observations).	Wind speed, Maximum temperaturę, Minimum temperaturę, Precipitation amount, Sunrise, Sunset
5	Weathercloud (weathercloud.net)	EU >30.000 (Germany: 20,000, Greece: 600, Italy: 5,000, Poland: 2,000, Romania: 300, Switzerland: 1,200)	Yes, basic	Personal, worldwide, non-assignable and non-exclusive license to use Weathercloud. This license is for the sole purpose of enabling you to use and enjoy Weathercloud in the manner permitted by these terms. This license is revocable at any time. This license does not include: The distribution of Weathercloud's content. Modifying or otherwise making any derivative uses of Weathercloud or any portion thereof., Use of any scraping, data mining, robots or similar data gathering or extraction methods., Downloading, other than page caching, any portion of Weathercloud, except as expressly permitted., Accessing Weathercloud's API with an unauthorized client., Any use of Weathercloud other than for their intended purposes.	3 Devices (basic plan), 10 Minute update interval, 12 Month cloud database, Current weather & evolution graphs, Data export to CSV, Custom plots, Daily & monthly reports

45th EWGLAM & 30th SRNWP Meeting, Reykjavik, 25-28.09.2023

QC algorithms for precipitation: development and testing automatic QC methods based on the RainGaugeQC algorithms



PWS do not follow WMO measurement standards are rarely regularly maintained, and calibrated. Applying appropriate QC methods is essential to increase data reliability and to enhance user confidence in good quality forecast.

Design and implementation of version of the RainGaugeQC software for QC of unprofessional gauges (standard RainGaugeQC: Ośródka et al., AMT 2022)

Abbr.	Algorithm	Sub-algorithms	Standard RainGaugeQC	Version for unprofess. gauges
GEC	Gross Error Check		X	х
RC	Range Check		х	х
RCC	Radar Conformity Check	1) Detection of incorrect "no precipitation" data	х	Х
		2) Detection of false precipitation reports	Х	Х
тсс	Temporal Consistency Check	1) Detection of blocked sensors	х	x
		2) Comparison of two sensors	х	
		3) Time series comparison with weather radar		Х
		4) Bias correction with adjusted radar data		х
SCC	Spatial Consistency Check	1) Detection of outliers from the local vicinity	х	Х
		2) Advanced detection of outliers taking into account additional percentiles	x	х

45th EWGLAM & 30th SRNWP Meeting, Reykjavik, 25-28.09.2023

Testing and application of the open-source software packaITAN for a quality control of ground data

TITANLIB is an open source software developed at the Norwegian meteorological institute. It is a library of automatic quality control routines for weather observations. The main goal of the task is to prepare a "clean" precipitation field for model verification, for instance. Starting point – test on the official Italian network, not on personal weather stations (PWS).

TITANLIB main tests

Buddy event check

The observations are converted into yes/no values of exceeding a specified threshold (event_threshold). The threshold argument in this test is minimum fraction of other observations in the neighbourhood that must agree with the observation being inspected.





The buddy check compares an observation against its neighbours (i.e. buddies) and flags outliers in a radius specified by the user. The buddy check flags observations if the (absolute value of the) difference between the observations and the average of the neighbours normalized by the standard deviation in the circle is greater than a predefined **threshold**.

Slide courtesy of Elena Oberto



RainGRS+model:

Quality-based combination of rain gauge, radar and satellite data (QPE)

Input data:

Data	Spatial resolution	Temporal resolution	Delay	From the software
IMGW rain gauge network	point	10 min	6 min	RainGaugeQC
Unprofessional networks (PWS)	point	10 min	12 min	RainGaugeQC
IMGW POLRAD radar network + neighbouring countries radars	1 km	10 min	7 min	RadComposite
EUMETNET OPERA radar precipitation	1.9 km x 2.2 km	15 min	13-23 min	EUMETNET OPERA
Meteosat (NWC-SAF) based precipitation estimates (algorithm, see: Jurczyk et al., 2020, Remote Sensing)	1 km	10 min	3 min	sat_qc_int
CML (microwave commercial links)	point	15 min	?	COST OPENSENSE

45th EWGLAM & 30th SRNWP Meeting, Reykjavik, 25-28.09.2023

Multi-source precipitation (RainGRS+) CML-based data

Mikolajk

Lublin

Disztyn

Kalisz Lódz

(a)

Commercial microwave links (CMLs) are used to transmit information between towers of cellphone networks. **If there is rainfall along the transmission path, the signal level is attenuated**. By comparing the transmitted and received signal levels, the average rainfall intensity along the path can be estimated.

Rożnó

Ligota Górga

Krupski Mły

0.3

0.2

0.0

Taciszóv

opice Gościejow

Zielin



RainGRS as a reference. Half-hourly accumulations (July 19 – August 18, 2022)



 $R_{\rm cor}$

S

G_{int}

CML b/a QC





Development and testing automatic QC methods based on the RainGaugeQC algorithms

1.000



0.900 0.800 0.700 0.600 0.500

RMSE (mm day⁻¹)



Reliability statistics of rainfall fields:

- spatially interpolated from rain gauges from IMGW,
- spatially interpolated from IMGW + unprof.,
- RainGRS fields based on data from IMGW,
- RainGRS fields based on data from IMGW + unprof.

Reference: data from manual rain gauges.

May 2023.

CC



Analysis of the mobile PWS sensors: testing QC proprieties of a new mobile weather sensors from Meteotracker

MeteoTracker is a mini weather station specifically designed and patented for measurements taken on the move: \checkmark Air temperature, \checkmark Relative Humidity, \checkmark Pressure.

Derived parameters: Dew Point, Altitude, Vertical Temperature Gradient, Solar Radiation Intensity, Humidex Index (thermal comfort), Vehicle Velocity.











Comparison between a MT in a Stevenson box and a WMO sensor (WS) on the roof of CIMA. Relative Humidity, Winter period (19/12/2022 - 10/01/2023)

Models perfomance during the flood events of May 2023 in Emilia-Romagna region

Maria Stefania Tesini, ARPA-E







Maximum amounts in the period 1-17 May:

- Trebbio (Lamone basin) 609 mm
- Le Taverne (Santerno basin) 563 mm
- Historical records for most rain gauges in the central-eastern sector with values over 300-400 mm (some with 100 or more years of data)
- The rain that has fallen in these areas over the entire period represents about a quarter of the annual cumulative climate value, while in each of the two main events (1-3 May and 16-17 May) it clearly exceeded the monthly cumulative climate value.



Models perfomance during the flood events of May 2023 in Emilia-Romagna region Maria Stefania Tesini, ARPA-E

How was the forecast for May 2?

FORECAST ISSUED 01-05-2023 00 UTC VALID FOR MAY 2 (accumulated in 24 hours at +48h)

plagis conversion secolate in 12 we benef take to be to 17.0, as to second pla exercises reported to 24 year based (PD IN IN WY progin provints a -discov consults in 14 viv/mini 278 D'MWT pluggle prevision a sidders essentiale in Sci are board dalls it alls in UEC databased dails in also be E. T. E. some the VE-DE-DEEP infant als in UTC own hid to shake OBS ECMWF OBS CONTRACT AND program provintions a children manufation in \$40 and formal COMBET AT progra provinsis a clikare manufata in 18 ano faunt 175000 Bill plagele provide a villers reasolationis Stiner for CENTE plogale provide a videor repealate in this pro loss the in allo his CITIC, some day its lot state talls it after he ETTE, some the Histoir John adard allo in U.S.C. over he is the second table in 10 kill street. Diff Dial also in Alla COSMO-5M COSMO-2 COSMO-5M COSMO-2

Models avaliable for operative use at Arpae

45th FWGLAM & 30th SRNWP Meeting, Revkiavik, 25-28,09,2023

FORECAST ISSUED 02-05-2023 00 UTC

VALID FOR MAY 2 (accumulated in 24 hours at +24h)

Mona

Alert zone

Basins

Forecast/observed rain comparison



The gray (obs) and colored (fcst) bars represent the average precipitation evaluated using all the points that fall in the basin or alert zone

Dots represent the maximum precipitation observed (gray) or predicted (colored) within the basin or alert zone



Error bars represent the standard deviation of precipitation of the points that fall in the basin or zone.

It is used as an indicator of data dispersion around the average.

Rain forecast/observed 1-2-3 May



Sottoarea C2-COSMO-5M run 20230501_00 UTC -cumulata24h



run 01-05-2023 00 UTC

15th EVICELANN & 30th SPNIM/PALAASting Parking vik 25-28 00 2023

Rain forecast/observed 1-2-3 May



Sottoarea C2-ECMWF run 20230501_00 UTC -cumulata24h



run 01-05-2023 00 UTC

15th FWGI AND & 30th SRNIMP Meeting Revelocity 25-28 00 2023

Rain forecast/observed 1-2-3 May



Sottoarea C2-ICON-2I run 20230501_00 UTC -cumulata24h



run 01-05-2023 00 UTC

15th EVICELANN & 30th SPNIM/PALAASting Parking vik 25-28 00 2023





The location of precipitation maximums remains a problem to be managed especially when the QPF is an input for hydraulic / hydrogeological models (due to significant differences between neighboring basins)

Highlights of verification activities in COSMO consortium Flora Gofa





45th EWGLAM & 30th SRNWP Meeting, Reykjavik, 25-28.09.2023